Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/790,209	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	David D. Le	3681
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>David D. Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Larry S. Nixon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>25 October 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 10 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide at the record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney authorizes examiner to amend the instant application as follows: Claim 10:

Line 19, "providing" has been replaced with --said means for varying the command value providing--.

Line 13, "to e permitted" has been replaced with --to be permitted--;
Line 15, "ot the pressure adjusting means" has been replaced with --to the pressure adjusting means--.